arXiv:1609.03007v4 [hep-th] 17 Dec 2016

ϕ^3 theory with F_4 flavor symmetry in $6-2\epsilon$ dimensions: 3-loop renormalization and conformal bootstrap

Yi Pang [1], Junchen Rong [2] and Ning Su [3]

[1] *Max-Planck-Insitut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut) Am Mühlenberg 1, DE-14476 Potsdam, Germany*

[2] *Fields, Gravity & Strings, Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe, Institute for Basic Sciences, Daejeon 305-811, Korea*

[3] *CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

ABSTRACT

We consider ϕ^3 theory in $6-2\epsilon$ with F_4 global symmetry. The beta function is calculated up to 3 loops, and a stable unitary IR fixed point is observed. The anomalous dimensions of operators quadratic or cubic in ϕ are also computed. We then employ conformal bootstrap technique to study the fixed point predicted from the perturbative approach. For each putative scaling dimension of ϕ (Δ_ϕ), we obtain the corresponding upper bound on the scaling dimension of the second lowest scalar primary in the **26** representation ($\Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}}$) which appears in the OPE of $\phi \times \phi$. In $D = 5.95$, we observe a sharp peak on the upper bound curve located at Δ_ϕ equal to the value predicted by the 3-loop computation. In $D = 5$, we observe a weak kink on the upper bound curve at $(\Delta_\phi, \Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}})=(1.6, 4)$.

1 Introduction

Conformal field theory (CFT) describing interesting infrared (IR) physics usually arises as the fixed point of renormalization group flow. A useful perturbative tool to study such kind of fixed point is the ϵ-expansion, which has been applied to explore the IR fixed point of quartic scalar theory in $D = 4 - \epsilon$ dimensions, including $D = 3$ Ising model [1] and critical O(N) vector model (see [2] for a comprehensive review). In $4 < D < 6$, the quartic scalar interaction becomes irrelevant and the renormalization group flow can instead be triggered via a cubic scalar interaction. The simplest ϕ^3 theory in $6-2\epsilon$ has been considered long time ago [3–5], with the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{6}g\phi^3$. In [5], it was shown that the 1-loop beta function has a non-unitary IR fixed point with imaginary coupling constant g for $D < 6$. Continuation of this fixed point to $D = 2$ describes the Yang-Lee edge singularity [6,7] in the Ising model (this is the (2,5) minimal model [8, 9] with negative central charge). Recently there has been a revival of interests to the renormalization of quantum field theory with ϕ^3 interaction in $D = 6 - 2\epsilon$ [1] [10–16], motivated by studying a-theorem in $D > 4$ or higher spin holography. In particular, the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\sigma)^2 + \frac{g_1}{2}\sigma\phi^i\phi^i + \frac{g_2}{6}\sigma^3 \tag{1.1}$$

was utilized in [10,12] to investigate the $D = 5$ critical O(N) vector model [2]. An interesting phenomenon originally noted in [4] and recently rediscovered in [10] is that there exists a critical value for N, denoted as N_{crit}, above which a stable, unitary fixed point was found in $6 - 2\epsilon$ dimension. One-loop renormalization suggests that $N_{\text{crit}} \approx 1038$ [4, 10]. Later, a 3-loop computation implies a much smaller N_{crit} [12].

As a non-perturbative approach to CFT, conformal bootstrap dates back to the work of Alexander Polyakov [18] and also the work of Sergio Ferrara, Raoul Gatto and Aurelio Grillo [19] in the 1970s . Its later application to two dimensional conformal field theories led to the famous work of Alexander Belavin, Alexander Polyakov and Alexander Zamolodchikov [8], which classified $D = 2$ minimal models. In $D > 2$, a significant progress was made by [20]. Since then, conformal bootstrap has been applied to $D = 3$ Ising model [21, 22], O(N) vector models [23, 24], Gross-Neveu(-Yukawa) models [25] and other CFTs with or without supersymmetry [26–35]. As a powerful non-perturbative method, for instance, conformal bootstrap has improved the precision of critical exponents in $D = 3$ Ising model by two orders of magnitude, compared to the Monte-Carlo simulations [24]. In $D = 5$, attempts

[1] The coefficient 2 in front of ϵ is our choice of convention.

[2] See [17] for a study of tensorial O(N) model.

to bound the value of $N_{\rm crit}$ for critical O(N) vector model has been carried out through conformal bootstrap approach [3] [40–42]. In [41], using the single correlator bootstrap, it was observed that a kink which exists for large enough N ceases to exist when $15 < N_{\rm crit} < 22$, under a reasonable assumption on the scaling dimension of the second lowest O(N) singlet scalar primary. On the other hand, the mixed correlators bootstrap seems to suggest that $N_{\rm crit} > 100$ [42].

In this work, we explore the possibility of having a CFT in five dimensions with F_4 global symmetry. The exceptional Lie group F_4 known as the compact real form of Lie algebra $\mathbf{f_4}$, is also the isometry group of the octonionic projective plane $\mathbf{OP}^2$ [43]. It admits a rank-2 and a rank-3 irreducible symmetric invariant tensors denoted by δ_{ij} and d_{ijk}, where the index transforms as the **26** of F_4. The simplest interacting F_4 theory can be written as a scalar theory with a cubic self-interaction

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}(\partial_\mu \phi^i)(\partial^\mu \phi^j) + \frac{g}{6} d_{ijk}\phi^i\phi^j\phi^k. \tag{1.2}$$

The cubic interaction is relevant in $6-2\epsilon$ dimensions and may drive the theory to a nontrivial IR fixed point. From the 3-loop renormalization of the coupling constant, we indeed observe a stable IR fixed point in $D = 6 - 2\epsilon$. We then employ conformal bootstrap technique to probe such a fixed point in $D = 5.95$ and $D = 5$ [4]. We observe that in $D = 5.95$ the boundary of the allowed region in the $(\Delta_\phi, \Delta^{\rm 2nd}_{\mathbf{26}})$ plane exhibits a sharp peak exactly at the value of Δ_ϕ obtained from the Padé$_{[2,1]}$ resummed 3-loop results. In $D = 5$, a weak kink is observed near the 3-loop results. The appearance of the kink has to do with fact that when the anomalous dimension of ϕ^i is small, the second lowest scalar primary in **26** is approximately given by $d_{ijk}\phi^j\phi^k$ with dimension $2\Delta_\phi$. However, when the anomalous dimension ϕ^i is large enough, the theory acquires notable deviation from the free theory. In the interacting theory, the operator $d_{ijk}\phi^j\phi^k$ becomes a conformal descendant of ϕ^i. The new second lowest scalar primary in **26** should have much higher dimension that $2\Delta_\phi$, yielding a sudden change in $\Delta^{\rm 2nd}_{\mathbf{26}}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the renormalization of the theory (1.2) in $D = 6 - 2\epsilon$ using ϵ-expansion. In particular, we compute the anomalous

[3] See [36–39] for the study of O(N) vector model using non-perturbative method "Functional Renormalization Group".

[4] It was shown in [44] that the O(N) vector model in non-integer dimensions was non-unitary. However, conformal bootstrap approach is still applicable in non-integer dimensions [40–42] and leads to reasonable results which can be compared with those derived from ϵ-expansion. We expect the same here for F_4 invariant ϕ^3 theory.

dimensions of operators such as ϕ^i, $\phi^i\phi^i$ and $d_{ijk}\phi^i\phi^j\phi^k$ up to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$. We also calculate the anomalous dimensions of other ϕ^2 operators in **26** and **324** representations at 1-loop level. In Section 3, we derive the set of crossing equations for a CFT with F_4 global symmetry and then apply it to study the fixed points predicted by the loop calculations in $D = 5.95$ and $D = 5$, using numerical conformal bootstrap. We discuss future extensions in Section 4.

1.1 3-Loop Renormalization of generic ϕ^3 theory in $6-2\epsilon$ Dimensions

The 3-loop renormalization of generic ϕ^3 theory in $D = 6 - 2\epsilon$ was studied long time ago by [45,46] using the modified minimal subtraction (MS) scheme. Recently [15] has extended the results to 4 loops. Here we only utilize the 3-loop results. The 3-loop beta function is given by [5]

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(g) &= -\frac{\epsilon}{2}g + \frac{T_2 - 4T_3}{8}g^3 + \frac{66T_2T_3 - 11T_2^2 - 108T_3^2 - 72T_5}{288}g^5 \\ &+\Big(821T_2^3 - 6078T_2^2T_3 + 12564T_2T_3^2 - 2592T_2T_5\zeta(3) + 9288T_2T_5 + 11664T_3^3 \\ &+51840T_3T_5\zeta(3) - 61344T_3T_5 - 20736T_{71} - 62208T_{72}\zeta(3) + 20736T_{72}\Big)\frac{g^7}{41472} + O(g^9)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

The 3-loop anomalous dimension of ϕ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\phi &= \frac{T_2}{12}g^2 + \frac{24T_2T_3 - 11T_2^2}{432}g^4 \\ &+\Big(821T_2^3 - 3222T_2^2T_3 + 3060T_2T_3^2 - 2592T_2T_5\zeta(3) + 4536T_2T_5\Big)\frac{g^6}{62208} + O(g^8)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

and the anomalous dimension of operator $\mathcal{O} \sim \phi^i\phi^i$ (for simplicity, from now on we will denote the F_4 singlet ϕ^2 operator by $\phi^2 \in \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ means the singlet representation of F_4. Similar rule applies to other composite operators carrying a certain representation of F_4) is

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} &= \frac{T_2}{2}g^2 + \frac{1}{48}T_2\left(24T_3 - T_2\right)g^4 \\ &-\Big(432T_3T_2\zeta(3) - 864T_3^2\zeta(3) - 380T_2^2 + 711T_3T_2 - 1170T_3^2 - 756T_5\Big)\frac{T_2}{1728}g^6 + O(g^8)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

[5] Throughout this paper, we mainly follow the convention used in [15], except that the sign of g^2 has been reversed. Compared with [10,12], there is a factor of 2 difference in the definition of $\beta(g)$, and the numerical factor $\text{Area}(S_5)/(2\pi)^6 = (4\pi)^3$ has been included in g^2.

In the above expressions, the constants $\{T_2, T_3, T_5, T_{71}, T_{72}\}$ are defined as [15]

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{i_1 i_3 i_4} d_{i_2 i_3 i_4} = T_2 \delta_{i_1 i_2} \\
&d_{i i_1 i_2} d_{j i_1 i_3} d_{k i_2 i_3} = T_3 d_{ijk} \\
&d_{i i_1 i_2} d_{j i_3 i_4} d_{k i_5 i_6} d_{i_1 i_3 i_5} d_{i_2 i_4 i_6} = T_5 d_{ijk} \\
&d_{i i_1 i_2} d_{j i_3 i_4} d_{k i_5 i_6} d_{i_1 i_3 i_7} d_{i_2 i_5 i_8} d_{i_4 i_6 i_9} d_{i_7 i_8 i_9} = T_{71} d_{ijk} \\
&d_{i i_1 i_2} d_{j i_3 i_4} d_{k i_5 i_6} d_{i_1 i_3 i_7} d_{i_2 i_5 i_8} d_{i_4 i_8 i_9} d_{i_6 i_7 i_9} = T_{72} d_{ijk} \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Using γ_ϕ and $\gamma_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{1}}$, the critical exponents η and ν can be computed via

$$\eta = 2\gamma_\phi(g_*), \quad \nu^{-1} - 2 + \eta = 2\gamma_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{1}}(g_*) \,. \tag{1.7}$$

2 Renormalization of F_4 invariant ϕ^3 theory in $D = 6 - 2\epsilon$

2.1 3-Loop Renormalization of F_4 invariant theory

The identities in (1.6) can be represented by the *Birdtrack* [47] diagrams as shown in Figure 1. Some formulas used here can be found in [47] (see Chapter 16). The **26** representation of F_4 group has the following properties:

- There exists a symmetric invariant rank-2 tensor δ_{ij} and a totally symmetric invariant rank-3 tensor d_{ijk} carrying indices in this representation;
- Higher rank invariant tensors carrying index in this representation are decomposable in terms of products of δ_{ij} and d_{ijk} using tree diagrams;
- The symmetric invariant rank-3 tensor d_{ijk} satisfies [48]:



$$d_{ilm} d_{mjk} + d_{ijm} d_{mkl} + d_{ikm} d_{mjl} = \tfrac{2\alpha}{n+2} (\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}), \tag{2.1}$$

  where the indices $\{i, j, k, \dots\}$ range from 1 to n. This relation holds for all the represenations belonging to the F_4 family (See Table 3). In particular, for F_4, $n = 26$.

The normalization of d_{ijk} is represented by



$$d_{ikl} d_{jkl} = \alpha \delta_{ij} \,. \tag{2.2}$$



Figure 1: Birdtrack diagrams defining constants $\{T_2, T_3, T_5, T_{71}, T_{72}\}$.

From now on we will set $\alpha = 1$. Notice



(2.3)

since otherwise there would exist an invariant vector $v_i = d_{imm}$.

Contracting (2.1) with from the left and applying (2.2) and (2.3), we get



(2.4)

Contracting (2.1) with from the right, one gets



(2.5)

where the empty box in the Birdtrack diagram means symmetrization of the two external indices. On the other hand, the antisymmetrization is given by



(2.6)

In the first step, we have used (2.1) to replace the top $d_{ijm}d_{mkl}$ pair, and in the second step, we used the fact that the diagram  vanishes because it is symmetric with respect to the two open indices on the left. Combining (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain



(2.7)

Notice this equation reduces the number of vertices by two, thus one can apply such an equality to calculate all the T-constants. The results are given by

$$T_2 = 1\,, \quad T_3 = -\frac{n-2}{2(n+2)}\,, \quad T_5 = -\frac{n^2-10n-16}{2(n+2)^2}\,,$$
$$T_{71} = \frac{n^3-3n^2+80n+100}{4(n+2)^3}\,, \quad T_{72} = -\frac{n\left(n^2-12n+20\right)}{8(n+2)^3}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Substituting the values of T-constants to (1.4), we obtain the beta function up to three loops

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(g) = &-\frac{\epsilon}{2}g + \frac{3n-2}{8(n+2)}g^3 - \frac{35n^2+296n+596}{288(n+2)^2}g^5 \\ &+\frac{g^7}{41472(n+2)^3}\Big(n^3(22032\zeta(3)-22213) - 30n^2(8640\zeta(3)-9377) \\ &+36n(4464\zeta(3)-10919) + 373248\zeta(3) - 841496\Big)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

which implies a unitary fixed point resides at

$$\begin{aligned}g_*^2 = &\frac{4(n+2)\epsilon}{3n-2} + \frac{4(n+2)\left(35n^2+296n+596\right)\epsilon^2}{9(3n-2)^3} \\ &-\frac{(n+2)\epsilon^3}{81(3n-2)^5}\Big(66096n^4\zeta(3) - 76439n^4 - 821664n^3\zeta(3) + 722596n^3 + 1000512n^2\zeta(3) \\ &-2776560n^2 + 798336n\zeta(3) - 4560976n - 746496\zeta(3) - 1158736\Big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

At the fixed point $g = g_*$, the anomalous dimension of ϕ^i is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\phi = & \frac{(n+2)\epsilon}{3(3n-2)} - \frac{2(n+2)\left(17n^2-174n-296\right)\epsilon^2}{27(3n-2)^3} \\ & -\frac{2(n+2)\epsilon^3}{243(3n-2)^5}\Big(6804n^4\zeta(3) - 8185n^4 - 86184n^3\zeta(3) + 84555n^3 + 128304n^2\zeta(3) \\ & -328018n^2 + 75168n\zeta(3) - 557100n - 82944\zeta(3) - 160552\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The scaling dimension of $\mathcal{O} \sim \phi^i\phi^i$ is given as

$$\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} = D - 2 + 2\gamma_\phi(g_*) - 2\gamma_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}}(g_*)\,, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} = & \frac{2(n+2)\epsilon}{3n-2} - \frac{(n+2)\left(47n^2-868n-1060\right)\epsilon^2}{9(3n-2)^3} \\ & +\frac{\epsilon^3}{162(3n-2)^5}\Big(95159n^5 - 455946n^4 + 1626712n^3 + 10473520n^2 \\ & -1296\zeta(3)(n+2)(3n-2)(11n^3-184n^2+116n+288) + 10289712n + 2039264\Big)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and the scaling dimension of $\mathcal{O} \sim d_{ijk}\phi^i\phi^j\phi^k$ (which we denote as $\phi^3 \in \mathbf{1}$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}} = & D + 2\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial g}\Big|_{g=g_*}\,, \\ \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial g}\Big|_{g=g_*} = & \epsilon - \frac{(n(35n+296)+596)\epsilon^2}{9(2-3n)^2} \\ & +\frac{\epsilon^3}{162(2-3n)^4}\Big(-71539n^4 + 805476n^3 - 2259216n^2 \\ & +1296\zeta(3)(3n-2)(17n^3-200n^2+124n+288) - 3149648n + 262128\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Taking $n = 26$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\phi &= \frac{D-2}{2} + 0.12281\epsilon - 0.03152\epsilon^2 + 0.04248\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\ \Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} &= D - 2 - 1.22807\epsilon + 0.05239\epsilon^2 - 3.41427\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\ \Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}} &= D + 2\epsilon - 1.22930\epsilon^2 - 0.13273\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

One can see that at $D = 5$ ($\epsilon = 1/2$) the scaling dimensions of ϕ^i and $\mathcal{O} \sim d_{ijk}\phi^i\phi^j\phi^k$ receive decreasing higher loop contributions, indicating that the F_4 invariant fixed point may exist at $D = 5$. The fact that the anomalous dimension of ϕ^i is positive is compatible with the unitarity of the fixed point.

Finally, we can use Padé approximation to resum these results

$$\text{Padé}_{[m,n]} = \frac{A_0 + A_1\epsilon + \ldots A_m\epsilon^m}{B_0 + B_1\epsilon + \ldots B_n\epsilon^n}\,, \tag{2.16}$$

where the coefficients of A_i and B_i are fixed by demanding that the Taylor expansion of Padé approximation agrees with the loop expansion. For series up to $O(\epsilon^3)$, we have two choices – Padé$_{[2,1]}$ and Padé$_{[1,2]}$. We will use choose Padé$_{[2,1]}$ to estimate (2.15) in the following section, because the other choice gives rise to a negative $\Delta_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{1}}$ at $D = 5$. We will also provide 1-loop result when necessary.

2.2 1-Loop Renormalization of $\phi^i \times \phi^j$ operators in 26 and 324 representations of F_4

In this section, we shall compute the 1-loop anomalous dimensions of ϕ^2 operators transforming nontrivially under F_4. Minimal subtraction scheme is adopted in the calculation. We need the following projectors of F_4 ($n = 26$) [47]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{1})}_{ijkl} &= \frac{1}{n}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\,, \\
\mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{26})}_{ijkl} &= d_{ijm}d_{klm}\,, \\
\mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{324})}_{ijkl} &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - d_{ijm}d_{klm} - \frac{1}{n}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\,, \\
\mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{52})}_{ijkl} &= \frac{8}{n+10}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \frac{n+2}{8}(d_{ilm}d_{jkm} - d_{jlm}d_{ikm})\right)\,, \\
\mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{273})}_{ijkl} &= \frac{n+2}{n+10}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - (d_{ilm}d_{jkm} - d_{jlm}d_{ikm})\right)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

which will also be useful in later conformal bootstrap study. Using these projectors, one can decompose the product representation $\mathbf{26} \times \mathbf{26}$ into the irreducible representations listed above. We will now calculate the one-loop anomalous dimensions of operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{(I)} \sim \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijkl}\phi^k\phi^l, \quad I \in \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{26}, \mathbf{324}\}\,. \tag{2.18}$$

These are the only ones which appear in $\phi^i(x) \times \phi^j(x)$. In order to do so, we need to compute the three point function of the form $\langle \phi^i(p)\phi^j(q)\mathcal{O}^{(I)}(p+q)\rangle$, which receives contributions from the two Feynman diagrams in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Renormalization of operator $O^{ij} \sim \phi^i\phi^j$.

Using Feynman rules, these two diagrams are transferred into

$$D_1 = (-\widetilde{g})^2 \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{klpq} d_{pmi} d_{qmj} \mathcal{I}_1 = A_I \widetilde{g}^2 \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijkl} \mathcal{I}_1 \,, \qquad \mathcal{I}_1 = \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p+q)^2} \frac{1}{q^2} \,, \tag{2.19}$$

$$D_2 = (-\widetilde{g})^2 \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijpq} d_{pqm} d_{mkl} \mathcal{I}_2 = -B_I \widetilde{g}^2 \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijkl} \mathcal{I}_2 \,, \quad \mathcal{I}_2 = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p-k)^2} \frac{1}{(k+q)^2} \frac{1}{k^2} \,.$$

The index I is not summed in the equations above. Integrals $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ have been evaluated in Appendix A of [10]. Notice that $\widetilde{g}^2 = (4\pi)^3 g^2$, with the numeric factor $\text{Area}(S_5)/(2\pi)^6$ absorbed in $\widetilde{g}^2$. In our case, the $1/\epsilon$ pole is canceled by counterterms with the coefficients

$$\delta_{\phi^2 \in I} = -A_I \frac{\widetilde{g}^2}{2(4\pi)^3} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon/2)}{(M^2)^{\epsilon/2}} + B_I \frac{\widetilde{g}^2}{12(4\pi)^3} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon/2)}{(M^2)^{\epsilon/2}} \,, \tag{2.20}$$

from which

$$\gamma_{\phi^2 \in I} = \frac{1}{2} M \frac{\partial}{\partial M} \delta_{\phi^2} = (\frac{A_I}{2} - \frac{B_I}{12}) \frac{\widetilde{g}^2}{(4\pi)^3} = (\frac{A_I}{2} - \frac{B_I}{12}) g^2 \,. \tag{2.21}$$

After some calculations, one can check the following relations hold

$$\mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{klpq} d_{pmi} d_{qmj} = A_I \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijkl} \,, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijpq} d_{pqm} d_{mkl} = B_I \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijkl} \,, \tag{2.22}$$

where the index I is not summed, and

$$\begin{aligned} &A_1 = 1 \,, \quad A_{26} = -\frac{n-2}{2(n+2)} \,, \quad A_{324} = \frac{2}{n+2} \,, \\ &B_1 = 0 \,, \quad B_{26} = 1, \qquad B_{324} = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

The scaling dimensions of various operators quadratic in ϕ can then be computed from

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} = D - 2 + 2\gamma_\phi(g_*) - 2\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(g_*) \,, \tag{2.24}$$

where $\gamma_\phi(g_*)$ is given in (2.11) and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{1}} &= \frac{1}{2} M \frac{\partial}{\partial M} \delta_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{1}} \Big|_{g=g_*} = \frac{1}{2} g_*^2 \Big|_{n=26} = \frac{2(n+2)\epsilon}{3n-2} \Big|_{n=26} + O(\epsilon^2) \,, \\ \gamma_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{26}} &= \frac{1}{2} M \frac{\partial}{\partial M} \delta_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{26}} \Big|_{g=g_*} = \frac{1-n}{3n+6} g_*^2 \Big|_{n=26} = \frac{4(n-1)\epsilon}{6-9n} \Big|_{n=26} + O(\epsilon^2) \,, \\ \gamma_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{324}} &= \frac{1}{2} M \frac{\partial}{\partial M} \delta_{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{324}} \Big|_{g=g_*} = \frac{1}{n+2} g_*^2 \Big|_{n=26} = \frac{4\epsilon}{3n-2} \Big|_{n=26} + O(\epsilon^2) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

One can see that at 1-loop level, the anomalous dimension of $\mathcal{O}^{(\mathbf{1})} \sim \phi^i \phi^i$ agrees with the result in (1.4).

For later comparison with the conformal bootstrap results, we summarize the scaling dimensions of various operators in Tables 1 and 2.

Notice that as a consequence of the equation of motion

$$\Box \phi^i = \frac{g}{2} d_{ijk} \phi^j \phi^k \,, \tag{2.26}$$

	Δ_ϕ	$\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}}$	$\Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}}$	$\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{26}}$	$\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{324}}$
$D=5.95$	1.97807	3.91930	6	3.97807	3.95351
$D=5$	1.56141	2.38597	6	3.56141	3.07018

Table 1: Scaling dimensions of operators in $D=5.95$ and $D=5$ at 1-loop.

	Δ_ϕ	$\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}}$	$\Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}}$
$D=5.95$	1.97805	3.91931	5.99961
$D=5$	1.55670	2.38635	5.83757

Table 2: Scaling dimensions of operators in $D=5.95$ and $D=5$ obtained from Padé$_{2,1}$ resummed 3-loop results.

the operator $\mathcal{O}^{(\mathbf{26})} \sim \mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{26})}_{ijkl}\phi^k\phi^l$ becomes a conformal descendant operator of ϕ^i. From (2.11), (2.25) and also Table 1, one can see explicitly that $\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{26}} = \Delta_\phi + 2$ at 1-loop, which should also hold at higher loop level.

3 Conformal Bootstrap

In conformal field theories, the structures of two and three point functions are completely fixed by conformal symmetry. A four point function in CFT with F_4 global symmetry can be decomposed as

$$\langle\phi_i(\overbrace{x_1)\phi_j(x_2}\phi_k(\overbrace{x_3)\phi_l(x_4}\rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi}x_{34}^{2\Delta_\phi}}\sum_I \mathbf{P}^{(I)}_{ijkl}\left(\sum_{\mathcal{O}\in I}\lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}}g_{\Delta_\mathcal{O},\ell_\mathcal{O}}(u,v)\right)$$
$$\text{with}\quad I\in\{\mathbf{1}^+,\mathbf{26}^+,\mathbf{324}^+,\mathbf{52}^-,\mathbf{273}^-\}\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where the projectors are defined in (2.17). The summation runs over all conformal primary operators which appear in the OPE of $\phi_i\times\phi_j$. The function $g_{\Delta,\ell}(u,v)$ is the so called conformal block, which depends on the cross ratios

$$u\equiv\frac{x_{12}^2x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2x_{24}^2}\,,\quad v\equiv\frac{x_{14}^2x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2x_{24}^2}\,, \tag{3.2}$$

and is completely fixed by conformal symmetry. In $D=4$, the conformal block was first obtained by Dolan and Osborn in [49, 50]. In other dimensions, the construction can be found in [23].

Conformal bootstrap approach relies on the fact that operator algebra obeys associativity, hence the following two ways of computing four point function should lead to equivalent

result

$$\langle \phi_i(x_1)\phi_j(x_2)\phi_k(x_3)\phi_l(x_4)\rangle = \langle \phi_i(x_1)\phi_j(x_2)\phi_k(x_3)\phi_l(x_4)\rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

This equality is also known as crossing symmetry of four point functions. Notice that the right hand side of (3.3) is identical to the left hand side upon the replacement $\{i, x_1 \to j, x_3\}$. Initially, the four index tensor $d_{ijm}d_{klm}$ appearing in (3.1) and hence in (3.3) admits three different index structures. After utilizing the relation (2.1), we are left with two independent tensors $d_{jlm}d_{ikm}$ and $d_{ijm}d_{klm} - d_{kjm}d_{ilm}$, which have definite parities (ignoring the δ's) under $i \leftrightarrow k$. Making the replacement

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ijm}d_{klm} \to\ & \frac{1}{2}(d_{ijm}d_{klm} - d_{kjm}d_{ilm}) - \frac{1}{2}d_{ikm}d_{jlm} \\ & + \frac{1}{28}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl})\,, \\ \frac{1}{2}d_{ilm}d_{jkm} - \frac{1}{2}d_{jim}d_{ikm} \to\ & -\frac{1}{4}(d_{ijm}d_{klm} - d_{kjm}d_{ilm}) - \frac{3}{4}d_{jlm}d_{ikm} \\ & + \frac{1}{56}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl})\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

in (3.3) and collecting the coefficients in front of the five independent tensor structures $\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\,, \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\,, \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$, $d_{jlm}d_{ikm}$ and $d_{ijm}d_{klm} - d_{kjm}d_{ilm}$, we arrive at the set of equations

$$\sum_I \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in I} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \vec{V}^{(I)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_\mathcal{O}}[\Delta_\mathcal{O}] = 0\,, \quad \text{with} \quad I \in \{\mathbf{1}^+, \mathbf{26}^+, \mathbf{324}^+, \mathbf{52}^-, \mathbf{273}^-\}\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where the $\pm$ refers to the parity under $i \leftrightarrow k$. The $\vec{V}^{(I)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_\mathcal{O}}[\Delta_\mathcal{O}]$ are given by

$$\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{1}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_\mathcal{O}}[\Delta_\mathcal{O}] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{F}{26} \\ -\frac{H}{26} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{V}^{(\mathbf{26}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_\mathcal{O}}[\Delta_\mathcal{O}] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{H}{2} \\ -F \\ \frac{F}{7} \\ \frac{F}{14} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{V}^{(\mathbf{273}-)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_\mathcal{O}}[\Delta_\mathcal{O}] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7H}{18} \\ \frac{7F}{3} \\ -\frac{5F}{3} \\ \frac{F}{3} \\ \frac{7H}{18} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{52}-)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_\mathcal{O}}[\Delta_\mathcal{O}] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{7H}{18} \\ -\frac{7F}{3} \\ -\frac{F}{3} \\ \frac{F}{6} \\ \frac{H}{9} \end{pmatrix}, \vec{V}^{(\mathbf{324}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_\mathcal{O}}[\Delta_\mathcal{O}] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{H}{2} \\ F \\ \frac{13F}{7} \\ \frac{71F}{182} \\ \frac{7H}{13} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

F and H are the shorthand notations for the convolved blocks defined as

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\Delta_\phi}_{\Delta_\mathcal{O},\ell_\mathcal{O}}(u,v) &\equiv \frac{v^{\Delta_\phi} g_{\Delta_\mathcal{O},\ell_\mathcal{O}}(u,v) - u^{\Delta_\phi} g_{\Delta_\mathcal{O},\ell_\mathcal{O}}(v,u)}{u^{\Delta_\phi} - v^{\Delta_\phi}}\,, \\ H^{\Delta_\phi}_{\Delta_\mathcal{O},\ell_\mathcal{O}}(u,v) &\equiv \frac{v^{\Delta_\phi} g_{\Delta_\mathcal{O},\ell_\mathcal{O}}(u,v) + u^{\Delta_\phi} g_{\Delta_\mathcal{O},\ell_\mathcal{O}}(v,u)}{u^{\Delta_\phi} + v^{\Delta_\phi}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We will now use the above equations to explore the conformal field theory with F_4 global symmetry in $D = 5.95$ and $D = 5$. Different from the O(N) conformal bootstrap, here the fundamental field ϕ^i appears in its own OPE due to the cubic self-interaction.

We shall assume that the second lowest scalar primary in the $\mathbf{26}^+$ channel has scaling dimension $\Delta \geq \Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}}$. (The lowest scalar primary in this channel is just ϕ^i.) To test this assumption, we search for a linear functional α with the following properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{1}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,0}[0]) = 1\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{1}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,0}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \frac{D-2}{2}\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{1}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \ell + D - 2\,, (\ell = 2,4,6\dots)\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{26}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,0}[\Delta_\phi]) \geq 0\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{26}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,0}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}}\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{26}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \ell + D - 2\,, (\ell = 2,4,6\dots)\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{324}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,0}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \frac{D-2}{2}\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{324}+)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \ell + D - 2\,, (\ell = 2,4,6\dots)\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{52}-)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \ell + D - 2\,, (\ell = 1,3,5\dots)\,, \\
&\alpha(\vec{V}^{(\mathbf{273}-)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell}[\Delta]) \geq 0\,, && \text{for } \Delta \geq \ell + D - 2\,, (\ell = 1,3,5\dots)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

For a given choice of $(\Delta_\phi, \Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}})$, if such a linear functional α exists, then we have

$$
\alpha\left(\sum_I \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in I} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \vec{V}^{(I)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_{\mathcal{O}}}[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}]\right) = \sum_I \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in I} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \alpha(\vec{V}^{(I)}_{\Delta_\phi,\ell_{\mathcal{O}}}[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}]) > 0\,, \tag{3.9}
$$

which contradicts (3.5). The contradiction simply implies that the second lowest scalar primary in the $\mathbf{26}^+$ channel should have scaling dimension lower than $\Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}}$. We use "SDPB" [51] to implement numerical bootstrap. For technical reason, we have to restrict the number of derivatives and the range of spins involved in the numerical calculation. The maximal derivative orders are chosen to be $\Lambda = 19, 21$ or 23 according to necessity, and the corresponding ranges of spins are set to be $\ell \in \{1,\dots 26\} \cup \{49, 50\}$, $\ell \in \{1,\dots 30\} \cup \{49, 50\}$ and $\ell \in \{1,\dots 30\} \cup \{49, 50\}$ respectively.

In $D = 5.95$, the result is shown in Figure 3. In this case, $\epsilon = 0.025$ thus one expects the perturbative calculation to be valid. The boundary of the allowed region in the $(\Delta_\phi, \Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}})$



Figure 3: Bootstrap study of F_4 invariant ϕ^3 theory in $D = 5.95$. Allowed region of $(\Delta_\phi, \Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{2\text{nd}})$ is indicated. The dashed line indicates Δ_ϕ from Padé$_{[2,1]}$ approximation of the 3-loop result. The curve is obtained at $\Lambda = 19$.

plane exhibits a sharp peak at $\Delta_\phi \approx 1.978$, which coincides precisely with the value of Δ_ϕ given by the Padé$_{[2,1]}$ resummed 3-loop result. The is a highly nontrivial check of the perturbative calculations performed in previous sections. Since $\mathcal{O}^{(\mathbf{26})} \sim d_{ijk}\phi^i\phi^k$ is a descendant operator, one expects the dimension of the second lowest scalar primary in this channel to be much higher than $D - 2$ (the classical dimension of $\mathcal{O}^{(\mathbf{26})}$), which is indeed the case.

The $D = 5$ result is plotted in Figure 4. Unlike the $D = 5.95$ case, no peak seems to appear. However, a weak kink is observed at $\Delta_\phi \approx 1.6$. The three loop result $\Delta_\phi \approx 1.55670$ is indicated by the dashed line. The location of the week kink does not fit the 3-loop result may be expected, since in this case, $\epsilon = 0.5$, and the $D = 5$ fixed point is highly non-perturbative in nature. We also notice that when increasing the derivative order, the weak kinks corresponding to different derivative orders tend to converge to a single weak kink.



Figure 4: Bootstrap study of F_4 invariant ϕ^3 theory in $D = 5$. Allowed region of $(\Delta_\phi, \Delta_{\mathbf{26}}^{\text{2nd}})$ is indicated. The dashed line indicates Δ_ϕ from Padé$_{[2,1]}$ approximation of the 3-loop result. The red, green and blue curves correspond to $\Lambda = 19, 21, 23$ respectively.

4 Discussion

The weak kink observed on the $D = 5$ bootstrap curve indicates the possibility of the existence of a CFT with F_4 flavor symmetry. It would be interesting to further constrain the $D = 5$ fixed point using mixed correlators conformal bootstrap [22]. We leave this for future investigation.

The exceptional Lie group of F_4 belongs to the so called F_4 family of invariant groups, which is defined as the family of groups admitting a representation that satisfies the three conditions listed in Section 2.1. According to the Birdtrack classification, there are four choices, the groups and the dimensions of the relevant representations are listed in Table 3. There exists a fixed point for each of these choices in $6 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions. In Appendix A, we list the dimensions of various operators computed for these theories at the fixed point. They are obtained simply by substituting the value of n for each case to formulas given in Section 2.1 and Section 2.2. Whether some of these fixed points continue to exist in $D = 5$ or even $D < 5$ is also worth further study. Another interesting question is whether other

resummation method would give us a better estimation of the operator dimension.

Group	F_4	$B_1 \equiv A_1$	A_2	C_3
$\phi^i \in \mathbf{n}$	26	5	8	14

Table 3: F_4 family of invariant groups and the dimensions of the relevant representations. Here we use capital letter to label the compact real form of the Lie algebra.

It is also possible to consider more general ϕ^3 theory of the form (1.2) which does not necessarily belongs to the F_4 family by relaxing one of the conditions in Section 2.1, and then classifying flavor symmetry groups which allow a stable nontrivial IR fixed point. For instance, $SU(\mathrm{N})$ with $\mathrm{N}\geq 3$ possesses a rank-3 symmetric invariant tensor in the adjoint representation which satisfies the first two conditions but not the third one in Eq. (2.1). The four loop beta function for $SU(\mathrm{N})$ invariant ϕ^3 theory has been computed in [15]. It would be interesting to carve out the possible IR fixed points in $D < 6$ using conformal bootstrap approach.

Besides the F_4 family, there is also the so called E_6 family of invariant groups [47]. The groups admits an invariant 2-tensor $\delta^i{}_j$ and an invariant symmetric 3-tensor d_{ijk} (and its conjugate d^{ijk}) carrying indices in some representation and satisfying certain conditions similar to those listed in 2.1. The groups and dimensions of the relevant representations are summarized in Table 4. One can then write down the Lagrangian

Group	E_6	A_5	$A_2 \times A_2$	A_2
$\phi^i \in \mathbf{n}$	27	15	3×3=9	6

Table 4: E_6 family of invariant groups and the dimensions of the relevant of representations. Here the capital letter labels the compact real form of the corresponding Lie algebra.

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial^\mu \bar{\phi}_i + \frac{g}{6}(d_{ijk}\phi^i\phi^j\phi^k + d^{ijk}\bar{\phi}_i\bar{\phi}_j\bar{\phi}_k)$$

which is invariant under the E_6-family of groups. The $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ invariant theory considered in [15, 52, 53] is just the special case with $n = 9$. It was argued in [15] that the formula (1.4) is still applicable after one sets $T_3 = T_{72} = 0$ (one could check the corresponding diagrams do not exist if replacing by , and by or). Fixing the normalization by choosing $T_2 = 1$, the 1-loop beta

function is given by

$$\beta(g) = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}g + \frac{1}{8}g^2. \tag{4.1}$$

One can see that there exists a stable unitary fixed point with $g_*^2 = 4\epsilon$. It would be interesting to study renormalization of the ϕ^3 theory invariant under the E_6 family at higher loop level using Birdtrack technique, and figure out whether the 1-loop fixed point continues to exist at larger value of ϵ using conformal bootstrap.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Zhijin Li, Chris Pope, Soo-Jong Rey for helpful discussion. Y.P. would like to thank Beijing Normal University and Sun Yet-sen University for hospitality during various stages of this work. J.R. and N.S. would like to thank George P. & Cynthia Woods Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy in Texas A&M University, where the early stage of this work was completed. The work of Y.P. is supported by Alexander von Humboldt fellowship. The work of J.R. was supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-13ER42020. The work of N.S. was supported by NSF grants PHY-1214222 and PHY-1521099.

A 3-loop renormalization for F_4 family of invariant groups

The F_4 family of groups and the corresponding representations listed in Table 3 share the common properties mentioned in (2.1). Moreover, if denoting the relevant representation in each case by $[\mathbf{n}]$, the product of two $[\mathbf{n}]$s admits similar decomposition rules which are summarized as

$$[\mathbf{n}] \times [\mathbf{n}] \to [\mathbf{1}]_+ + [\frac{\mathbf{3n(n-2)}}{\mathbf{n+10}}]_- + [\frac{\mathbf{n(n+1)(n+2)}}{\mathbf{2(n+10)}}]_- + [\mathbf{n}]_+ + [\frac{\mathbf{n(n-1)}}{\mathbf{2}} - 1]_+ , \tag{A.1}$$

where the number in the square bracket indicates the dimension of the irreducible representation and the subscript $\pm$ refers to symmetry properties under the interchange of the two indices in the $[\mathbf{n}]$ representation. The $[\frac{\mathbf{3n(n-2)}}{\mathbf{n+10}}]$ representation corresponds to the adjoint representation. In this appendix, we will present the 3-loop renormalized dimensions for operators ϕ^i, $\phi^i\phi^i$ and $d_{ijk}\phi^i\phi^j\phi^k$ and the 1-loop renormalized dimensions for operators $\mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{n})}_{ijkl}\phi^k\phi^l$ and $\mathbf{P}^{(\frac{\mathbf{n(n-1)}}{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{1})}_{ijkl}\phi^k\phi^l$, where the projectors $\mathbf{P}_{ijkl}$ are defined in (2.17). Similar to the F_4 case, we will denote these operators by $\{\phi, \phi^2 \in \mathbf{1}, \phi^3 \in \mathbf{1}\}$ and $\{\phi^2 \in \mathbf{n}, \phi^2 \in \frac{\mathbf{n(n-1)}}{\mathbf{2}} - \mathbf{1}\}$ for convenience. In Section 2, when discussing the renormalization of F_4 invariant ϕ^3 theory, we have left n unspecified in the intermediate steps. Therefore, the results for other members

in the F_4 family can be obtained by simply substituting the value of n in each case to Eqs. (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.25).

In summary, for F_4 group case, $n = 26$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\phi &= \frac{D-2}{2} + 0.12281\epsilon - 0.03152\epsilon^2 + 0.04248\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} &= D - 2 - 1.22807\epsilon + 0.05239\epsilon^2 - 3.41428\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}} &= 6 - 1.22930\epsilon^2 - 0.13273\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{26}} &= D - 2 + 1.12281\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{324}} &= D - 2 + 0.14035\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2);
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

for $B_1 \equiv A_1$ group case, $n = 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\phi &= \frac{D-2}{2} + 0.17949\epsilon + 0.17489\epsilon^2 + 1.44664\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} &= D - 2 - 1.79487\epsilon - 2.64168\epsilon^2 - 25.8755\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}} &= 6 - 3.88034\epsilon^2 - 24.1329\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{5}} &= D - 2 + 1.17949\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{9}} &= D - 2 - 0.25641\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)\,;
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

for A_2 group case, $n = 8$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\phi &= \frac{D-2}{2} + 0.15152\epsilon + 0.041740\epsilon^2 + 0.39753\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} &= D - 2 - 1.51515\epsilon - 0.959179\epsilon^2 + 10.0498\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}} &= 6 - 2.38935\epsilon^2 - 7.72911\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{8}} &= D - 2 + 1.15152\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{27}} &= D - 2 - 0.06061\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)\,;
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

for C_3 group case, $n = 14$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\phi &= \frac{D-2}{2} + 0.13333\epsilon - 0.01111\epsilon^2 + 0.12005\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{1}} &= D - 2 - 1.33333\epsilon - 0.24444\epsilon^2 - 5.09869\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^3\in\mathbf{1}} &= 6 - 1.61111\epsilon^2 - 2.09496\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{14}} &= D - 2 + 1.13333\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)\,, \\
\Delta_{\phi^2\in\mathbf{90}} &= D - 2 + 0.06667\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

References

[1] Kenneth G. Wilson and Michael E. Fisher. *Critical exponents in 3.99 dimensions.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 28:240–243, (1972).

[2] Moshe Moshe and Jean Zinn-Justin. *Quantum field theory in the large N limit: A Review.* Phys. Rept., 385:69–228, (2003).

[3] A. J. Macfarlane and G. Woo. ϕ^3 *Theory in Six Dimensions and the Renormalization Group.* Nucl. Phys., B77:91–108, (1974). [Erratum: Nucl. Phys.B86, 548, (1975)].

[4] Ernest Ma. *Asymptotic Freedom and a Quark Model in Six-Dimensions.* Prog. Theor. Phys., 54:1828, (1975).

[5] M. E. Fisher. *Yang-Lee Edge Singularity and phi**3 Field Theory.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 40:1610–1613, (1978).

[6] Chen-Ning Yang and T. D. Lee. *Statistical theory of equations of state and phase transitions. 1. Theory of condensation.* Phys. Rev., 87:404–409, (1952).

[7] T. D. Lee and Chen-Ning Yang. *Statistical theory of equations of state and phase transitions. 2. Lattice gas and Ising model.* Phys. Rev., 87:410–419, (1952).

[8] A. A. Belavin, Alexander M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov. *Infinite Conformal Symmetry in Two-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory.* Nucl. Phys., B241:333–380, (1984).

[9] John L. Cardy. *Conformal Invariance and the Yang-Lee Edge Singularity in Two-dimensions.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 54:1354–1356, (1985).

[10] Lin Fei, Simone Giombi, and Igor R. Klebanov. *Critical $O(N)$ models in $6-\epsilon$ dimensions.* Phys. Rev., D90(2):025018, (2014).

[11] Benjamin Grinstein, David Stone, Andreas Stergiou, and Ming Zhong. *Challenge to the a Theorem in Six Dimensions.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 113(23):231602, (2014).

[12] Lin Fei, Simone Giombi, Igor R. Klebanov, and Grigory Tarnopolsky. *Three loop analysis of the critical O(N) models in 6-ϵ dimensions.* Phys. Rev., D91(4):045011, (2015).

[13] Lin Fei, Simone Giombi, Igor R. Klebanov, and Grigory Tarnopolsky. *Critical Sp(N) models in 6-ϵ dimensions and higher spin dS/CFT.* JHEP, 09:076, (2015).

[14] Benjamin Grinstein, Andreas Stergiou, David Stone, and Ming Zhong. *Two-loop renormalization of multiflavor ϕ^3 theory in six dimensions and the trace anomaly.* Phys. Rev., D92(4):045013, (2015).

[15] J. A. Gracey. *Four loop renormalization of ϕ^3 theory in six dimensions.* Phys. Rev., D92(2):025012, (2015).

[16] Andreas Stergiou, David Stone, and Lorenzo G. Vitale. *Constraints on Perturbative RG Flows in Six Dimensions.* JHEP, 08:010, (2016).

[17] Igor F. Herbut and Lukas Janssen. *Critical $O(2)$ and $O(3)$ ϕ^4 theories near six dimensions.* Phys. Rev., D93(8):085005, (2016).

[18] A. M. Polyakov. *Nonhamiltonian approach to conformal quantum field theory.* Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 66:23–42, (1974).

[19] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo, and R. Gatto. *Tensor representations of conformal algebra and conformally covariant operator product expansion.* Annals Phys., 76:161–188, (1973).

[20] Riccardo Rattazzi, Vyacheslav S. Rychkov, Erik Tonni, and Alessandro Vichi. *Bounding scalar operator dimensions in 4D CFT.* JHEP, 12:031, (2008).

[21] Sheer El-Showk, Miguel F. Paulos, David Poland, Slava Rychkov, David Simmons-Duffin, and Alessandro Vichi. *Solving the 3D Ising Model with the Conformal Bootstrap.* Phys. Rev., D86:025022, (2012).

[22] Filip Kos, David Poland, and David Simmons-Duffin. *Bootstrapping Mixed Correlators in the 3D Ising Model.* JHEP, 11:109, (2014).

[23] Filip Kos, David Poland, and David Simmons-Duffin. *Bootstrapping the $O(N)$ vector models.* JHEP, 06:091, (2014).

[24] Filip Kos, David Poland, David Simmons-Duffin, and Alessandro Vichi. *Precision Islands in the Ising and $O(N)$ Models*, (2016).

[25] Luca Iliesiu, Filip Kos, David Poland, Silviu S. Pufu, David Simmons-Duffin, and Ran Yacoby. *Bootstrapping 3D Fermions.* JHEP, 03:120, (2016).

[26] Alessandro Vichi. *Improved bounds for CFT's with global symmetries.* JHEP, 01:162, (2012).

[27] Christopher Beem, Leonardo Rastelli, and Balt C. van Rees. *The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Superconformal Bootstrap.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 111:071601, (2013).

[28] Christopher Beem, Madalena Lemos, Pedro Liendo, Leonardo Rastelli, and Balt C. van Rees. *The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal bootstrap.* JHEP, 03:183, (2016).

[29] Nikolay Bobev, Sheer El-Showk, Dalimil Mazac, and Miguel F. Paulos. *Bootstrapping SCFTs with Four Supercharges.* JHEP, 08:142, (2015).

[30] Shai M. Chester, Luca V. Iliesiu, Silviu S. Pufu, and Ran Yacoby. *Bootstrapping $O(N)$ Vector Models with Four Supercharges in $3 \leq d \leq 4$*, (2015).

[31] Shai M. Chester, Jaehoon Lee, Silviu S. Pufu, and Ran Yacoby. *The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal bootstrap in three dimensions.* JHEP, 09:143, (2014).

[32] Christopher Beem, Madalena Lemos, Leonardo Rastelli, and Balt C. van Rees. *The (2, 0) superconformal bootstrap.* Phys. Rev., D93(2):025016, (2016).

[33] Nikolay Bobev, Sheer El-Showk, Dalimil Mazac, and Miguel F. Paulos. *Bootstrapping the Three-Dimensional Supersymmetric Ising Model.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 115(5):051601, (2015).

[34] Ying-Hsuan Lin, Shu-Heng Shao, David Simmons-Duffin, Yifan Wang, and Xi Yin. *N=4 Superconformal Bootstrap of the K3 CFT*, (2015).

[35] Denis Bashkirov. *Bootstrapping the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT in three dimensions*, (2013).

[36] P. Mati. *Vanishing beta function curves from the functional renormalization group.* Phys. Rev., D91(12):125038, (2015).

[37] P. Mati. *Critical scaling in the large-N $O(N)$ model in higher dimensions and its possible connection to quantum gravity.* Phys. Rev., D94(6):065025, (2016).

[38] Astrid Eichhorn, Lukas Janssen, and Michael M. Scherer. *Critical O(N) models above four dimensions: Small-N solutions and stability.* Phys. Rev., D93(12):125021, (2016).

[39] Kazuhiko Kamikado and Takuya Kanazawa. *Nonperturbative RG analysis of five-dimensional O(N) models with cubic interactions*, (2016).

[40] Jin-Beom Bae and Soo-Jong Rey. *Conformal Bootstrap Approach to O(N) Fixed Points in Five Dimensions*, (2014).

[41] Shai M. Chester, Silviu S. Pufu, and Ran Yacoby. *Bootstrapping $O(N)$ vector models in $4 < d < 6$.* Phys. Rev., D91(8):086014, (2015).

[42] Zhijin Li and Ning Su. *Bootstrapping Mixed Correlators in the Five Dimensional Critical O(N) Models*, (2016).

[43] John C. Baez. *The Octonions.* Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 39:145–205, (2002).

[44] Matthijs Hogervorst, Slava Rychkov, and Balt C. van Rees. *Truncated conformal space approach in d dimensions: A cheap alternative to lattice field theory?* Phys. Rev., D91:025005, (2015).

[45] O. F. de Alcantara Bonfim, J. E. Kirkham, and A. J. McKane. *Critical Exponents to Order ϵ^3 for ϕ^3 Models of Critical Phenomena in Six ϵ-dimensions.* J. Phys., A13:L247, (1980). [Erratum: J. Phys.A13,3785, (1980)].

[46] O. F. de Alcantara Bonfim, J. E. Kirkham, and A. J. McKane. *Critical Exponents for the Percolation Problem and the Yang-Lee Edge Singularity*, J. Phys., A14:2391, (1981).

[47] Predrag Cvitanovic. *Group theory: Birdtracks, Lie's and exceptional groups*, (2008).

[48] Predrag Cvitanovic. *Group theory for Feynman diagrams in non-Abelian gauge theories*, *Phys. Rev.*, D14:1536–1553, (1976).

[49] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn. *Conformal four point functions and the operator product expansion*, Nucl. Phys., B599:459–496, (2001).

[50] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn. *Conformal partial waves and the operator product expansion*, Nucl. Phys., B678:491–507, (2004).

[51] David Simmons-Duffin. *A Semidefinite Program Solver for the Conformal Bootstrap*, JHEP, 06:174, (2015).

[52] A. J. McKane, D. J. Wallace, and R. K. P. Zia. *Models for Strong Interactions in Six Epsilon Dimensions*, Phys. Lett., B65:171–173, (1976).

[53] A. J. Mckane. *An SU(3) x SU(3) Field Theory of Strong Interactions in Six Epsilon Dimensions.* J. Phys., G3:1165–1177, (1977).